



PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No. 4591-394
Client No. IE10183-US-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Ki-Nam KIM and Yoon-Jong SONG
Serial No.: 10/800,273 Art Unit: 2818
Conf. No.: 1287
Filed: March 11, 2004 Examiner: Tran, Long K.
For: FERROELECTRIC MEMORY DEVICE AND METHOD OF
FORMING THE SAME

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced application are the following:


- ☒ Publication and Issue Fee.
- ☒ In connection with issuance of a patent:
 - ☐ Supplemental Declaration ☒ PTO Form 85B.
- ☒ Applicant's Comments on Examiner's Statement of Reason for Allowance.
- ☒ PTO-2038 authorizing credit card payment of \$1630.00, issue fee (\$1330.00) and Publication fee (\$300.00).
- ☒ Return Receipt Postcard.
- ☒ Any deficiency or overpayment should be charged or credited to deposit account number 13-1703.

Customer No. 20575

Respectfully submitted,


MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.

Date:


Todd J. Iverson
Reg. No. 53,057

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.
1030 SW Morrison Street
Portland, OR 97205
503-222-3613

I hereby certify that this correspondence
is being deposited with the United States
Postal Service as first class mail in an
envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue
Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box
1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Date: September 30, 2004


Angie C. Farr



PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No. 4591-394
Client No. IE10183-US-1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Ki-Nam KIM and Yoon-Jong SONG
Serial No.: 10/800,273 Art Unit: 2818
Conf. No.: 1287
Filed: March 11, 2004 Examiner: Tran, Long K.
For: FERROELECTRIC MEMORY DEVICE AND METHOD OF
FORMING THE SAME

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**APPLICANT'S COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR
ALLOWANCE**

Claims 1-20 have been allowed in this case. The Statement of Reasons for Allowance indicates that the prior art fails to teach some of the features recited in independent claims 1, 8, and 16. To the extent that these statements regarding allowable subject matter agree with the language of the individual allowed claims, the applicants agree with the statements.

Furthermore, it is a well known tenet of patent law that patent claims are read as a whole, rather than phrase by phrase or element by element. Therefore, the scope of the allowed claims is not necessarily limited only to the claim features mentioned in the statements, but rather, to the language of the claims themselves, in their entirety.

Finally, there are several independent claims allowed in this case, as well as various dependent claims that depend directly or indirectly from the allowed independent claims. Each of the allowed claims includes particular features and particular claim language, and therefore each has a scope different from the others allowed in this case.

Customer No. 20575

Respectfully submitted,

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.


Todd J. Iverson
Reg. No. 53,057

MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.
1030 SW Morrison Street
Portland, OR 97205
503-222-3613

I hereby certify that this correspondence
is being deposited with the United States
Postal Service as first class mail in an
envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue
Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box
1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Date: September 30, 2004.


Angie C. Farr